# EVALUATION REPORT IN MODULE 3

**NAME OF EVALUATED UNIT:**

**FORD:** Zvolte položku.

### SOCIAL CONTRIBUTION OF THE EVALUATED UNIT

|  |
| --- |
| 3.1 Introductory information about the unit under evaluation Indicator 3.1 is a non-evaluated indicator that provides basic information about the evaluated unit such as its mission and vision, organizational structure, size and staffing, or R&D&I capacities. |
| **Commentary:** |

### RECOGNITION BY THE RESEARCH COMMUNITY

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 3.2 Recognition by the research community Evaluate the position of the evaluated unit in the R&D&I research community based on the facts presented in indicator 3.2 and its annexed tables (3.2.1 to 3.2.5). | |
| **Rating [1–5]:** | **Choose an option** |
| **Qualitative assessment:[[1]](#footnote-1)** | |
| **Recommendations:** | |

### RESEARCH PROJECTS

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 3.3 Research projects Evaluate the most important research projects of the evaluated unit, especially regarding the results achieved and the application potential of the project, the proportionality with respect to the R&D&I capacities of the evaluated unit, the degree of interdisciplinarity and collaboration with other parts of the evaluated HEI, and the consistency with the declared mission and vision of the evaluated unit. Use the data from the full list of research projects and contract research activities in Tables 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 to supplement the evaluation. | |
| **Rating [1–5]:** | **Choose an option** |
| **Qualitative assessment:** | |
| **Recommendations:** | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 3.4 Research results with existing or prospective impact on society Evaluate the research results already applied in practice or intended to be applied in practice. When evaluating, consider whether the results are proportionate to the R&D&I capacities of the evaluated unit, how they contribute to the fulfilment of the mission and vision of the evaluated unit and, if stated in the self-evaluation, how the results take into account the gender dimension and sustainability. Use the data from the full results summary in Table 3.4.1 to supplement the evaluation. | |
| **Rating [1–5]:** | **Choose an option** |
| **Qualitative assessment:** | |
| **Recommendations:** | |

### TRANSFER OF RESULTS INTO PRACTICE

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 3.5 Transfer of results into practice Evaluate the effectiveness of the transfer of results into practice by the unit being evaluated. Pay attention to whether the composition of the stated users of the results or the way the R&D&I results are commercialised (if stated) is consistent with the R&D&I results produced and the mission and vision of the evaluated unit and how successful the evaluated unit is in its activities, such as attracting new users of the results, commercialising the R&D&I results and obtaining funding from non-public, non-grant sources. | |
| **Rating [1–5]:** | **Choose an option** |
| **Qualitative assessment:** | |
| **Recommendations:** | |

### POPULARIZATION OF VAVAI

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 3.6 The most important activities in the field of popularization of R&D&I and communication with the public Evaluate how active and successful the evaluated unit is in the field of popularisation of R&D&I and communication with the public. | |
| **Rating [1–5]:** | **Choose an option** |
| **Qualitative assessment:** | |
| **Recommendations:** | |

### IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 3.7 Implementation of the recommendations in Module 3 Evaluate how the evaluated unit has reflected the recommendations from the previous evaluation of the IEP, if applicable.  If the evaluated unit has not been evaluated before, the indicator will be marked as N/A – Not Applicable. | |
| **Rating [1–5]:** | **Choose an option** |
| **Qualitative assessment:** | |
| **Recommendations:** | |

### EVALUATED UNIT RATING

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Evaluation of unit Having evaluated the individual criteria of module M3, please summarise your evaluation in the context of the module, describing and justifying the strengths and weaknesses of the unit being evaluated. | |
| 3.1 Introductory information about the unit under evaluation | **NOT RATED** |
| 3.2 Recognition by the research community | **Choose an option** |
| 3.3 Research projects | **Choose an option** |
| 3.4 Research results with existing or prospective impact on society | **Choose an option** |
| 3.5 Transfer of results into practice | **Choose an option** |
| 3.6 The most important activities in the field of popularization of R&D&I and communication with the public | **Choose an option** |
| 3.7 Implementation of the recommendations in Module | **Choose an option** |
| **Average rating [1–5]:** | **Choose an option** |
| **Grade [A–D]:** | **Choose an option** |
| **Summary assessment:** | |
| **Summary recommendations:** | |

1. Provide verbal assessment of the indicator and briefly comment on the reasons for awarding the specific rating. Follow a similar procedure for the other indicators. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)